

Date: Mon, 16 May 94 21:03:30 PDT
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #535
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Mon, 16 May 94 Volume 94 : Issue 535

Today's Topics:

 Citibank Visa and HTs
 Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 15 May
 Final QTH Monument
 IPS Daily Report - 16 May 94
 PL Tones
 RF & Capacitors
 sacred freqs
 Strange 154.46 MHz transmissions
 those nasty rude hams
 US License Examination Opportunities Outside the US
 Willful Interference

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 16 May 94 18:50:14 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!math.ohio-state.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!noc.near.net!
news.tufts.edu!news.hnrc.tufts.edu!jerry@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Citibank Visa and HTs
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I just received my registration back from Citicorp today.
Citibank gave a free 5 year extended warranty on my Standard C558A HT (which
is similar to the way they treat CB radios and cellular phones).

Date: Mon, 16 May 1994 10:32:48 MDT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!gatech!newsxfer.itd.umich.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!alberta!
ve6mgs!usenet@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 15 May

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

/\

DAILY SUMMARY OF SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY

15 MAY, 1994

/\

(Based In-Part On SESC Observational Data)

SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL ACTIVITY INDICES FOR 15 MAY, 1994

!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 135, 05/15/94
10.7 FLUX=091.4 90-AVG=087 SSN=055 BKI=3443 3333 BAI=018
BGND-XRAY=A7.5 FLU1=5.1E+06 FLU10=7.6E+04 PKI=3356 4334 PAI=030
BOU-DEV=039,064,065,033,031,021,033,035 DEV-AVG=040 NT SWF=00:000
XRAY-MAX= B6.0 @ 1740UT XRAY-MIN= A6.3 @ 1140UT XRAY-AVG= B1.0
NEUTN-MAX= +003% @ 1555UT NEUTN-MIN= -002% @ 1055UT NEUTN-AVG= +0.3%
PCA-MAX= +0.4DB @ 1630UT PCA-MIN= -0.3DB @ 1915UT PCA-AVG= +0.0DB
BOUTF-MAX=55344NT @ 2359UT BOUTF-MIN=55279NT @ 1140UT BOUTF-AVG=55315NT
GOES7-MAX=P:+000NT@ 0000UT GOES7-MIN=N:+000NT@ 0000UT G7-AVG=+077,+000,+000
GOES6-MAX=P:+154NT@ 1828UT GOES6-MIN=N:-108NT@ 0713UT G6-AVG=+104,+031,-049
FLUXFCST=STD:090,095,095;SESC:090,095,095 BAI/PAI-FCST=020,015,015/020,020,015
KFCST=4435 5334 3324 4223 27DAY-AP=019,016 27DAY-KP=3443 4433 5344 2233
WARNINGS=
ALERTS=
!!END-DATA!!

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 14 MAY 94 was 21.6.

The Full Kp Indices for 14 MAY 94 are: 2o 5- 5+ 3+ 3o 3- 3o 3o

The 3-Hr Ap Indices for 14 MAY 94 are: 9 38 59 19 14 14 15 16

Greater than 2 MeV Electron Fluence for 15 MAY is: 8.0E+07

SYNOPSIS OF ACTIVITY

Solar activity was very low.

Solar activity forecast: solar activity is expected to be

very low to low. Region 7722 (N07E25) has the only realistic chance of producing an isolated C-class flare

The geomagnetic field has been at unsettled to active levels for the past 24 hours. High latitude stations saw a period of minor to severe storming. Activity is most likely due to a well positioned coronal hole. Energetic electron flux (GT 2 MeV) ranged from normal to high over the past 24 hours.

Geophysical activity forecast: the geomagnetic field is expected to be unsettled to active for the next 24 to 48 hours then mostly unsettled. High latitude stations will see periods of minor to major storming over the next 24 hours.

Event probabilities 16 may-18 may

Class M	01/01/01
Class X	01/01/01
Proton	01/01/01
PCAF	Green

Geomagnetic activity probabilities 16 may-18 may

A. Middle Latitudes	
Active	35/30/30
Minor Storm	25/15/15
Major-Severe Storm	05/05/05
B. High Latitudes	
Active	25/25/25
Minor Storm	25/25/20
Major-Severe Storm	10/10/05

HF propagation conditions were below-normal over the high and polar latitude regions. Middle and low latitude stations saw near-normal propagation with slightly below-normal night-sector propagation. Conditions should begin improving over the next 24 or 48 hours as the current disturbance wanes. A period of quieter geophysical activity and better propagation is then expected for the next week or so.

COPIES OF JOINT USAF/NOAA SESC SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL REPORTS

=====

REGIONS WITH SUNSPOTS. LOCATIONS VALID AT 15/2400Z MAY

NMBR	LOCATION	LO	AREA	Z	LL	NN	MAG	TYPE
------	----------	----	------	---	----	----	-----	------

[illegible]

Total Events: 000 optical and x-ray.

EVENTS WITH SWEEPS AND/OR OPTICAL PHENOMENA FOR THE LAST UTC DAY

Date Begin Max End Xray Op Region Locn Sweeps/Optical Observations

NO EVENTS OBSERVED.

NOTES:

All times are in Universal Time (UT). Characters preceding begin, max, and end times are defined as: B = Before, U = Uncertain, A = After. All times associated with x-ray flares (ex. flares which produce associated x-ray bursts) refer to the begin, max, and end times of the x-rays. Flares which are not associated with x-ray signatures use the optical observations to determine the begin, max, and end times.

Acronyms used to identify sweeps and optical phenomena include:

II = Type II Sweep Frequency Event
III = Type III Sweep
IV = Type IV Sweep
V = Type V Sweep
Continuum = Continuum Radio Event
Loop = Loop Prominence System,
Spray = Limb Spray,
Surge = Bright Limb Surge,
EPL = Eruptive Prominence on the Limb.

** End of Daily Report **

Date: 16 May 1994 16:19:07 -0700
From: nntp.crl.com!crl2.crl.com!not-for-mail@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: Final QTH Monument
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

ron c. (ronald@access1.digex.net) wrote:
: Tired of those old fashioned headstones? Want something special for your
: final resting place? What to take your call sign with you? How about a
: Marble HAM Headstone with your call sign tastefully engraved; possibility
: exists to have that antenna you always wanted but never could erect
: etched permanently along with your call sign on the same headstone.
: Antennas to scale. A special chip is available to emit your call sign and

: a CQ on the date of your demise, or date you were first licensed. If you
: are within several years of occupying your final resting please consider
: a HAM Headstone. If you should upgrade prior to death there will be an
: extra charge for reconfiguration. Remember you can take it all with you.
: Replies by E Mail. Advance payment in full required. Special discount for
: Ham couples (K4ADL take note) who will be residing together
: permanently.

How very morbid, not to mention very poor taste!

| \ / | / _ _ _ | _ \ Michael G. Beck
| \ / | | _ _ | |_) | mgb@crl.com
| \ / | | | _ | _ <
| \ / | | | _ | |_) | P.O. Box 370294 Voice: (415)728-9218
|_ | | _ | \ _ _ _ | _ _ _ / Montara, CA 94037 Fax: (415)728-1339

Date: 16 May 94 23:29:10 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!pipex!sunic!trane.uninett.no!nac.no!ifi.uio.no!
wabbit.cc.uow.edu.au!metro!ipso!rwc@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: IPS Daily Report - 16 May 94
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SUBJ: IPS DAILY SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL REPORT
ISSUED AT 16/2330Z MAY 1994 BY IPS RADIO AND SPACE SERVICES
FROM THE REGIONAL WARNING CENTRE (RWC), SYDNEY.
SUMMARY FOR 16 MAY AND FORECAST UP TO 19 MAY

No warning is current.

1A. SOLAR SUMMARY
Activity: very low

Flares: none.

Observed 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 091/036

1B. SOLAR FORECAST

	17 May	18 May	19 May
Activity	Low	Very low	Very low
Fadeouts	None expected	None expected	None expected

Forecast 10.7 cm flux/Equivalent Sunspot Number : 090/034

1C. SOLAR COMMENT

None.

2A. MAGNETIC SUMMARY

Geomagnetic field at Learmonth: unsettled to active

Estimated Indices :	A	K	Observed A Index 15 May
Learmonth	19	4434 3333	
Fredericksburg	26		28
Planetary	30		30

Observed Kp for 15 May: 3356 4334

2B. MAGNETIC FORECAST

DATE	Ap	CONDITIONS
17 May	15	Unsettled.
18 May	10	Quiet to unsettled.
19 May	10	Quiet to unsettled.

2C. MAGNETIC COMMENT

None.

3A. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION SUMMARY

	LATITUDE BAND		
DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
16 May	normal	fair-normal	poor-fair

PCA Event : None.

3B. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION FORECAST

	LATITUDE BAND		
DATE	LOW	MIDDLE	HIGH
17 May	normal	normal	fair
18 May	normal	normal	normal
19 May	normal	normal	normal

3C. GLOBAL HF PROPAGATION COMMENT

NONE.

4A. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC SUMMARY

MUFs at Sydney were near predicted monthly values. Spread F and sporadic E may have degraded F layer communications from 05-13UT.

Observed T index for 16 May: 33

Predicted Monthly T Index for May is 30.

4B. AUSTRALIAN REGION IONOSPHERIC FORECAST

DATE	T-index	MUFs
17 May	30	Near predicted monthly values.
18 May	35	Near predicted monthly values.
19 May	35	Near predicted monthly values.

4C. AUSTRALIAN REGION COMMENT

None.

--

IPS Regional Warning Centre, Sydney	IPS Radio and Space Services
email: rwc@ips.oz.au fax: +61 2 4148331	PO Box 5606
RWC Duty Forecaster tel: +61 2 4148329	West Chatswood NSW 2057
Recorded Message tel: +61 2 4148330	AUSTRALIA

Date: 17 May 94 03:29:38 GMT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.cerf.net!ccnet.com!ccnet.com!not-for-mail@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: PL Tones
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

The original layout was based on the decoding bandwidth of the first mechanical reeds. On any one RF frequency, only tones from the same letter group (A,B,Z) were to be used. This ensured that no two tones would be too close together and experience false decodes from each other's use. This is still somewhat true today. Even though mechanical reeds are clunky and old-fashioned, they are still a VERY stable, high-Q device. Many solid-state decoders actually have wider decode bandwidths than reeds do!

The "letter group" concept also carried over into audible (paging/signalling) tones as well. Only tone from the same group were to be used on any one RF frequency. Many paging company operators found that tone groups used by the various vendors would not necessarily co-exist on a given RF paging frequency.

In digital coded-squelch, the coding is done digitally, except a turn-off code of 134.4 Hz is used at the end of each transmission for quick decoder turn-off. RF frequencies that have digital coded-squelch users can't also properly support a 136.5 HZ (4Z) user since the end of every digital coded-squelch transmission would likely cause the 136.5 user's squelch to open momentarily.

--

Jim Sohn phun sohn@ccnet.com
 nophun pms/s=sohn/g=jv@mhs.attmail.com
KF6NY wireless KF6NY@wd6cmu.#nocal.ca.usa.noam

Date: Tue, 17 May 1994 00:14:54 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!
news.cac.psu.edu!news.pop.psu.edu!psuvax1!news.cc.swarthmore.edu!
netnews.upenn.edu!msuinfo!harbinger.cc.monash.edu.au!@network
Subject: RF & Capacitors
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <XBg2Lc2w165w@yesanext.sbay.org> seawolf@yesanext.sbay.org (Sameer Manek:SysOp) writes:

>From: seawolf@yesanext.sbay.org (Sameer Manek:SysOp)
>Subject: RF & Capacitors
>Date: Sun, 08 May 94 20:17:32 PDT

>But that doesn't seem to make sense to me, because its the CB that needs
>the steady power supply not the LED....shouldn't I add the capacitor
>in series with the CB instead?? Or do I need some kind of low pass
>filter? Any clues?

>Thanks for your help.

Well I have to agree with you in that you may just need a lowpass filter for the CB. However I would NOT put a capacitor IN SERIES with the CB's power cable. You may find your CB wont work at all, since this is a highpass filter which blocks the DC that you so very need to run your CB. A capacitor in PARALLEL across the positive and negative power leads of the CB is a lowpass system that you may be looking for. Try about 1000microfarad across the power leads (take care with polarity) to filter out any high frequency interference above DC frequencies. Better still use a filter choke (a large inductive coil) in SERIES with the positive power cable of the CB to achieve a lowpass filter once again. A couple of tens to hundred microhenrys to 1 or 2 millihenry could do the trick, depending upon the severity of the interference. You could try a combination of both if the problem is really bad. Most car stereo outlets sell these filters as ready made units with varying degrees of effectiveness. Usually the more you pay, the more elaborate the filter design becomes, the more effective it is.

Check this installation with the cars electrics first. Some modern day cars with the computer system controls and the whizz-bang electronic technology may not agree with the loading that these external components posses. Ask someone in the know if it's O.K.

Good luck.....

Date: 17 May 94 01:41:13 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
library.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!csus.edu!netcom.com!rogjd@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
Subject: sacred freqs
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Greg Bullough (greg@netcom.com) wrote:

: Gee, perhaps the DXpedition could start out by calling a friendly
: 'break,' using the occasion to check out their set-up, and then
: ask for control of the frequency when the QSO is over. A 15-minute
: rag-chew probably isn't too much to pay for getting established on
: a frequency, now is it? In other words 'if the frequency is in use,
: perhaps you can join them rather than beating them!'

This is a very good point. Sheesh, I doubt that 1% of ragchews on HF
last more than 15-20 minutes anyway. Propagation rarely allows it.

: > If you start a ragchew on 14192 you are not likely to be asked
: > to move, but if you start one on 14195 there is a bigger chance
: > that you will be, so why not recognize that 14192 is a better
: > place to start out?

: Because if every casual operator (who have just as much rights to the
: bands) keeps a list of every frequency which is someone's or some group's
: 'favorite,' and avoids it, we now have bands with 100 'edges' instead
: of the current 9 or ten per band. Between nets, quasi-nets, and bulletin
: frequencies, it's already tough enough for the casual operator to enjoy
: his or her hobby. Oh yes, let's not forget the 'satellite' sub-bands which
: are now springing up, even on 15 meters! We need to reduce to a minimum
: the number of 'reserved' spots which the hobby recognizes, and operate
: on a 'first-come-first-served' basis unless there is compelling reason
: to do otherwise. And we need to recognize that a clear channel for the
: convenience of DXing is NOT a compelling reason.

Beautifully said. For 99% of us, amateur radio is a casual hobby, not a
full-time occupation. We learn the rules per part 97, we learn courteous
operating procedure, and that should be enough. All these highly
intricate quasi rules simply serve to increase tension and decrease the
enjoyment of the hobby. Most of us don't know these little would-be
rules anyway.

: > it is combative to start a QSO
: > right there when there are other frequencies available.

: Only if the frequency.police MAKE it combative. What if, rather than
: being asked to clear the frequency, the person who called CQ on 14195
: was invited to wait for DX together, during a rag-chew? 'So, over to
: you Jeff, and we'll listen for any DX breakers on the turn-over. WA8DX,
: this is WA6DCL/2 over and QRZ DX.'

Exactly the point. Those whose single minded pursuit of one narrow
aspect of the hobby (DX for instance) should not expect the rest of us to
unconditionally defer to them. I doubt there are many instances of
casual users not being cooperative when asked to move a few Khz from say,
a net freq. But, for example, don't these DX stations to which Derek
refers have VFOs on their radios?

: Seems simple, doesn't it? Wouldn't it then encourage DX stations to
: join in? Wouldn't it give them something as a point of reference?
: Couldn't the stations rag-chewing then turn over the frequency to the
: pile-up? Or other stations break with information on when Outer Mongolia
: comes on the air, and where?

: For God's sake, if people just stop yelling 'mine! mine!' and actually
: take the time to *TALK* to each other, the solution comes up and smacks
: them in the face.

Well said.

--

rogjd@netcom.com
Glendale, CA
AB6WR

Date: Mon, 16 May 94 21:08:01 GMT
From: butch!rapnet!news@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Strange 154.46 MHz transmissions
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <1994May13.184319.18885@vitek.com> check@vitek.com (checkett) writes:
>From: check@vitek.com (checkett)
>Subject: Strange 154.46 MHz transmissions
>Date: Fri, 13 May 1994 18:43:19 GMT

>I have been receiving some automated/computerized transmissions on 154.46 MHz.
>They must be coming from several locations due to different RF signal levels

>I'm picking up as various transmitters turn on and off. The audio sounds like
>very slow AFSK modulation using different tone groupings. It appears these
>different transmitters/?receivers? can talk back and forth with each other.
>Kinda sounds like pigeons cooing at each other. :)

>Does anyone have an idea what I might be listening to.

>David Checkett - WG0Y, St. Louis, Mo. check@vitek.com

If these are what I think they are...I had the same question, but described them as whoopers (whoop whoop whoop. etc.) In my case they came from the local oscillators in scanners.

For example, $154.46 - 10.7$ (IF freq) = 153.76 Does that frequency get used in your area? This gets more complicated when dealing with all the various IF frequencies in use in various scanners.

The views expressed here are my own, not my employer's.
Jeff Millar, WA1HC0, Lockheed Sanders 603-885-7047

Date: 16 May 1994 22:58:06 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!gerald@cc.utexas.edu!
astro.as.utexas.edu!oo7@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: those nasty rude hams
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

blood@austin.ibm.com () says:

>>Ive decided to quit saving for a HF rig after following this discussion.

You should really listen to the bands rather than making judgments based on what you read here. I must admit that if I were trying to interest an innocent youngster in HF ham radio, I would be very careful when tuning around the phone bands to demonstrate what a great hobby it can be. A lot of what you hear is uninspiring at best. There are several things you can do, though. You can tune past stuff you object to or simply ignore it - not a very positive action, but I do it all the time on the phone bands. You can spend more time on other modes - cw, rtty, satellites, there are probably some obnoxious types there too, but I think the rudest people stay on SSB where they have the widest audience (which is what they need), and because it's harder and less fun to belch in CW or AMTOR.

A more positive approach is simply to set a good example. There are plenty of considerate ops out there, and there is nothing in the rules that says you have to listen to people you find objectionable.

The tuning knob is sometimes the most valuable one on your radio!

So don't give up on the HF bands without actually listening to them first and seeing how bad things really are. They are perhaps not for the weak of stomach or the easily offended. I suppose it depends on what your reasons are for getting a ham license in the first place. HF is not for everyone, nor is CW, nor is VHF, nor is county hunting. With 600,000+ hams in the US alone, this is probably a Good Thing...

Derek Wills (AA5BT, G3NMX)
Department of Astronomy, University of Texas,
Austin TX 78712. (512-471-1392)
oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu

Date: 16 May 1994 17:39:33 -0500
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: US License Examination Opportunities Outside the US
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Hi Netters, A friend of mine is interested in getting a US ham license. He is currently living in Taiwan. Can someone either e-mail me or post the info here if you do have this info regarding US ham license testing site and date in Taiwan? Your help in this matter is very appreciated. 73 de D.J.Wang/ N2YKP/AA

Date: Mon, 16 May 1994 21:56:52 GMT
From: brunix!pstc3.pstc.brown.edu!md@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Willful Interference
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

> As long as your evidence is so solid why not go public? Send the details
> to your local newspaper and see if they write up a story, or at least
> send a letter to the editor.

Do you really think that a local newspaper would even CARE about this sort of topic? I think they would read about it and place it in the circular file.

> At the very least let the offending operator know that you and many,
> many, many others know (safety in numbers!) of his illegal operations;
> maybe even send him a copy of Part 97 and list the dollar amounts of
> the fines he could receive. Advise him that your findings have been

> turned over to the federal government.

This person has been caught jamming *6* times in the past two years. Each time he was spoken to. Each time he cries and pleads for forgiveness with promises that he'll never do it again. Two or three weeks later the fun and games start all over again.

N1JBC, Jed Barton, is the culprit. He's a spoiled little rich kid from an affluent section of the state, and his parents have no idea what is going on. Nor do they want to know, if you attempt to tell them, they dismiss it as he has already told them that people on amateur radio are "picking on him".

I've got clean transmitter fingerprints of his jamming and other voice contacts. There is no doubt that he is the culprit. Other people have DF'd him right to his front door. He never denies that he did it, privately, when you catch him. If you announce it on the radio he'll deny it left and right, and accuse YOU of jamming. Since he works at a local radio station making carts, he has been known to even record people's conversations on the air and then splice together a conversation and rebroadcast it on the air.

Recently one of the local trustees was in Boston and decided to visit the FCC's office. They politely said "thank you" and are going to do nothing. So, the jamming continues to this day.

At a recent club meeting, we discussed the issue. Someone said "Contact the Section Manager!" Yeah, right. Our section manager can't even get the section news in on time, although he is quite good at calling up people on the phone and intruding in their personal affairs. Someone else said "Contact an OO". Yeah^2. I know an OO, and even though I have *positive* proof, she indicates that she cannot write a pink slip unless *SHE* catches the person jamming. Great, considering she never DF's or anything else.

> Others will say to avoid any contact with him but I've found that
> direct contact is a big motivating factor in the cessation of these
> kinds of activities.

Overall, it appears nothing will get done. If you contact the FCC, they say contact the League. The League is powerless and does squat.

So, amateur radio does down the toilet, just as CB radio did in the 1970's when the FCC decided to stop regulating it. I give amateur radio another 5 to 10 years before tuning in the 27.x mhz and 144+mhz range sounds identical.

MD

--

-- Michael P. Deignan
-- Amalgamated Baby Seal Poachers Union, Local 101
-- "Get 'The Club'... Endorsed by Baby Seal poachers everywhere..."

Date: 16 May 94 22:50:13 GMT
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!library.ucla.edu!
news.ucdavis.edu!modem65.ucdavis.edu!ddtodd@ucbvax.berkeley.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <Bm4ulsN.yves1@delphi.com>, <1994May11.131758.9021@cs.brown.edu>,
<CptKuL.8n3@news.Hawaii.Edu>ne
Subject : Re: Luck Hurder ... gone:(Why?

In article <CptKuL.8n3@news.Hawaii.Edu> jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
Herman) writes:

>In article <1994May11.131758.9021@cs.brown.edu> md@maxcy2.maxcy.brown.edu
(Michael P. Deignan) writes:

>>

>>The League may claim to be a non-profit firm, but in fact it is a for-profit
>>publishing house. The sooner people start to realize this they will be
>>better off.

>I thought 'non-profit' meant that at the end of the year an organization's
>income and expenses were equal, i.e., they broke even.
No, non-profit means that any surplus is used to expand the business. There
are a number of different types of non-profit businesses (in Ca. anyway) they
can have surpluses in any given fiscal cycle. They cannot distribute
surpluses to stockholders (ie. members).

>>The League may be "non-profit" from the viewpoint of the IRS, but its
>>certainly not non-profit from the viewpoint of the staff members who have
Are you suggesting every non-profit organization should accept only volunteer
help, Mike? Did/do you work for free at school? Is Brown a for profit school?

>I'm sure the taxpayers of the State of Hawaii (they pay my salary, and this
>university is a non-profit corporation) don't mind that I've taken some of my
>earnings and bought a sailboat to live on.
You sure like rubbing it in don't you, Jeff! :-)

Dan

=====

Dan Todd ddtodd@ucdavis.edu kc6uud@ke6lw.#nocal.ca.us.na
Charter Member: Dummies for UNIX

When radios are outlawed, only outlaws will have radios
- David R. Tucker on rec.radio.amateur.policy
=====

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #535
